victims,  $\hat{\epsilon}_s \chi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \alpha s \lambda \hat{\alpha} \beta o \iota s$  (347); Achilles refers to his Trojan victims,  $\theta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{o}_s \ldots \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} \hat{s} \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ χερσὶ βάλησι (103-4).

In sum, an important piece of Homeric influence on Euripides needs to be recognized in the I.T.

University of Illinois, Urbana

HOWARD JACOBSON

## POETRY FROM OLD ROPE: A NEGLECTED EMENDATION IN ARISTOPHANES, FROGS 1298

Aristophanes, Frogs 1296-1300:

τί τὸ "φλαττοθρατ" τοῦτ' ἐστίν; ἐκ Μαραθώνος ἤ Dionvsus:

πόθεν συνέλεξας ίμονιοστρόφου μέλη;

άλλ' οὖν ἐγὼ μὲν εἰς τὸ καλὸν ἐκ τοῦ κάλω ἤνεγκον αὕθ', ἴνα μὴ τὸν αὐτὸν Φρυνίχω Aeschylus:

λειμώνα Μουσών ίερον όφθείην δρέπων.

1298 κάλω Tyrrell, καλοῦ codd.

Tyrrell's emendation was suggested over a century ago (CR 1 [1887], 130) and mentioned in Merry's small edition (Oxford, 1905<sup>5</sup>). Since then it seems to have been ignored. But the alteration is an attractive one and deserves more attention and support than it has so far received; it is hoped that this note can provide it with both.

Tyrrell could not see 'much point' in the transmitted reading  $\kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{v}$ , and ventured κάλω as a play on ἱμονιοστρόφου of the previous line. 1297 certainly mentions a 'rope-twister', however we understand the term, so reading  $\kappa \dot{a} \lambda \omega$  will introduce a joke which is Aristophanic and may even be regarded as Aeschylean too.<sup>2</sup> But the crucial point is that  $\kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{v}$  has a number of problems which seem to have avoided notice so far.

Dionysus' jibe characterizes Euripides' parody of Aeschylus' lyrics, with its constantly repeated refrain, as being like the monotonous<sup>3</sup> work songs which are well attested<sup>4</sup> and must have been one of the most traditional and enduring elements of Greek cultural life. Aeschylus' response begins with  $d\lambda\lambda'$  o $\partial\nu$ , which is always used in Aristophanes (and often elsewhere) to mean 'Yes, but . . .'; it combines concession of the point made with a dismissal of its importance. Aeschylus'  $\partial \lambda \lambda'$  où should then admit that he is guilty as charged—he did indeed make use of elements from this source—but it should also contend that this guilt is unimportant. The source does not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Either as 'rope-hauler' (thus the scholia, Dover, Sommerstein), or as 'rope-maker' (Tucker). Sommerstein refutes the suggestion of E. K. Borthwick, Phoenix 48 (1994), 21-6, that the term refers to a conjurer wielding a leather strap.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Note the observations of W. B. Stanford, Aeschylus in his Style (Dublin, 1942), 72-7, on Aeschylus' passion for such paronomasia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The few work-songs to survive make clear how repetitious they must have been: see PMG 849 and 869.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> M. L. West, Ancient Greek Music (Oxford, 1992), 27-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In Clouds, Aristophanes had the newly educated Pheidippides claim that it was 'old fashioned  $(\partial \rho \chi \alpha \hat{i} \circ \nu)$  to play the lyre and sing while drinking, like a woman grinding barley' (1357-8).

<sup>6</sup> Dover's gloss on the combination here ('dismissal rather than denial') is only half right; άλλ' οὖν occurs nine times in the MSS of Aristophanes, and each occurrence exercises the dual function of concession and dismissal. The other passages are Ach. 620, Av. 1408, Nu. 985, 1002, Th. 710, V. 1129, 1190, 1434.

matter because of the use to which he put this traditional raw material: he made a thing of beauty ( $\epsilon is \tau \delta$   $\kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \nu$ ) from this unpromising origin.

To allude to something drawn from this material as  $\vec{\epsilon}\kappa \tau o\hat{v} \kappa a\lambda o\hat{v}$  will not do. How can the songs of a rope-twister, whatever they are, be regarded as a *noble* source? Nor does the phrase work better with what follows. Here Aeschylus' professed purpose  $(\vec{i}\nu a \mu \eta)$  is to be seen drawing his songs from a different source from Phrynichus—but how can something as vague as  $\tau \delta \kappa a\lambda \delta \nu$  be presented as a contrast to the source of Phrynichus, who is celebrated for the sweetness of his verse elsewhere in Aristophanes? Finally, we can note that the phrase  $\epsilon is \tau \delta \kappa a\lambda \delta \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau o\hat{v} \kappa a\lambda o\hat{v}$  seems to occur nowhere else in Greek, which surely demolishes Denniston's suggestion (ap. Dover) that it was colloquial and possibly a proverb.

The phrase  $\epsilon is$   $\tau \delta$   $\kappa \alpha \lambda \delta \nu$   $\epsilon \kappa$   $\tau o \hat{\nu}$   $\kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{\nu}$  is so weak, neither agreeing with the charge in  $i\mu o\nu \iota o\sigma \tau \rho \delta \phi o \nu$   $\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$  (as  $d\lambda \lambda'$  o  $d\nu$  obliges it to) nor distinguishing Aeschylus' source from Phrynichus' (as Aeschylus is explicitly trying to do here), that the text needs challenging. Tyrrell's emendation neatly solves both problems and restores a joke as well: truly an instance of *utile dulci*.

The corruption is not difficult to explain. It is likely that at some stage in transmission  $\kappa \acute{a}\lambda \omega$  became the more regular, non-Attic and contemporary form  $\kappa \acute{a}\lambda o \upsilon$ , just as Attic  $\kappa \acute{a}\lambda \omega s$  became the easier  $\kappa \acute{a}\lambda o \iota s$  in the major MSS at *Peace* 458. In the case of *Frogs* 1298, however, a mere change of accent was then enough to bind in the incorrect reading—but not, as we have seen, without damage to the Greek.<sup>9</sup>

The University of Western Australia

NEIL O'SULLIVAN nosulliv@arts.uwa.edu.au

- <sup>7</sup> The Suda (ι 357) glosses  $\hat{\iota}\mu$ ονιοστρόφος with  $\epsilon \hat{\iota}\tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}\varsigma$ . The view that  $\hat{\epsilon}\kappa$  τοῦ καλοῦ refers to the κιθαρφδικοὶ νόμοι of 1282 occurs already in the scholia and has modern supporters, but it does not solve the problem:  $\hat{a}\lambda\lambda$  οὖν introduces a sentence addressed to Dionysus (note  $\hat{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$   $\mu\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ ... οὖτος δ'), and can only be a response to his words, not those of Euripides, so its concessive force remains unexplained.
  - <sup>8</sup> Wasps 220, Birds 748–51.
- $^9$  We may prefer an explanation based on simple assimiliation of endings (at Frogs 91 Εὐριπίδου πλεῖν ή σταδίω has produced the nonsensical variant σταδίου) or on the original form of Aristophanes' script, for he may have written both κάλω and καλοῦ as KAΛO: for relevant pre-Euclidean material, see L. Threatte, The Grammar of Attic Inscriptions 1 (Berlin and New York, 1980), 33-4 (O for  $\Omega$ ) and 238-41 (O for the 'spurious diphthong' OY).

## TELESTES, PMG 8081

άλλος δ' άλλαν κλαγγὰν ἱεὶς κερατόφωνον ἐρέθιζε μάγαδιν, πενταρράβδωι χορδᾶν ἀρθμῶι χέρα καμψιδίαυλον ἀναστρωφῶν τάχος.

- 2 ἐρατό- Wagener ἢρέθ- coni. Schweighäuser 3 ἐν πεντ. Athen.: ἐν del. Dindorf πενταράβδωι Athen. Α, πενταρόδωι Ε, πενταράδωι C, corr. recc.² χορδὰν Α, corr. CE. ἀριθμῶι codd., corr. Bergk χεῖρα codd., corr. Wil. κάμψει δίαυλον ἀναστροφῶν Α, corr. CE³
- $^{\rm I}$  I am grateful to Professor C. Collard and CQ's anonymous referee for several useful and apposite comments.
- $\frac{1}{2}$  πενταράβδωι is no doubt correct, although Wilamowitz (*Timotheos. Die Perser* [Leipzig, 1903], 30, n. 1) and some earlier editors attempt to defend the difficult  $\pi$ ενταράβωι <  $\pi$ εντ-ἄραβος 'producing five sounds'.
  - <sup>3</sup> The apparatus is my own, after Kaibel (ed. Athen. 1887–90), Peppink (ed. Epit. 1936).